

1 JAMES L. JACOBS, State Bar No. 158277
2 ROBERT W. LUCKINBILL, State Bar No. 131977
3 GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP
4 1891 Landings Drive
5 Mountain View, CA 94043
6 Telephone: (650) 428-3900
7 Facsimile: (650) 428-3901

8 Attorneys for Defendant
9 DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.

10 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
11 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
12 SAN JOSE DIVISION

13 PALPILOT INTERNATIONAL
14 CORPORATION, a California corporation

15 Plaintiff,

16 vs.

17 DRS TACTICAL SYSTEMS, INC., a
18 Florida corporation, and DOES 1 to 10,
19 inclusive

20 Defendant

No. C07 02481 RS

FURTHER STIPULATION TO EXTEND
THE TIME WITHIN WHICH TO
ANSWER OR OTHERWISE RESPOND
TO THE COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

[Pursuant to Local Rule 6.1 (a)]

21 WHEREAS, Plaintiff Palpilot International Corporation ("Palpilot") initially
22 commenced this action in the Superior Court of California in and for the County of Santa
23 Clara, as Case Number 107CV0833091;

24 WHEREAS, Defendant DRS Tactical Systems, Inc. ("DRS Tactical") filed a Notice
25 Of Removal Of Action Pursuant To 28 U.S.C. § 1441(b) ("Notice of Removal") with the
26 United States District Court for the Northern District of California on May 8, 2007;

27 WHEREAS, the initial deadline for DRS Tactical to answer or otherwise respond to
28 the Complaint ("Complaint") was May 16, 2007;

1 WHEREAS, the initial deadline for Palpilot to file a motion to remand, pursuant to
2 U.S.C. § 1447(c), is 30 days after the filing of the Notice of Removal, or June 7, 2007;

3 WHEREAS, pursuant to two earlier stipulations, the parties agreed to extend the
4 deadlines for (a) DRS to answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint (to June 11, 2007)
5 and (b) Palpilot to file a motion to remand pursuant to U.S.C. § 1447(c) (to July 2, 2007).

6 WHEREAS, the parties remain engaged in the informal exchange of information
7 and settlement discussions in an effort to determine whether an early resolution of the
8 dispute can be reached and agree that they would like to minimize the costs of the litigation
9 pending those efforts;

10 WHEREAS, the parties wish to further extend the deadline for DRS Tactical to
11 answer or otherwise respond to the Complaint and for Palpilot to file its motion to remand
12 should it choose to do so pending the parties' efforts to exchange information and discuss
13 settlement;

14 WHEREAS, the parties agree that the contemplated extension of this deadline will
15 not alter the date of any event or any deadline already fixed by Court order in this matter.

16 NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE
17 PARTIES HERETO THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL, AS FOLLOWS:


18 1. DRS Tactical shall have until June 21, 2007 to answer or otherwise respond
19 to the Complaint.

20 2. Palpilot shall have until July 12, 2007 to file a motion to remand pursuant to
21 U.S.C. § 1447(c).

22 3. Counsel for DRS Tactical hereby attests that the concurrence of Mr. Lew,
23 counsel for Palpilot, to file this Stipulation has been obtained.

24 Dated: June 6, 2007

GCA LAW PARTNERS LLP

25
26 
James L. Jacobs

27 Attorneys for Defendant
28 DRS Tactical Systems, Inc.

1 Dated: June 6, 2007
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

STEPHEN K. LEW, PROF. LAW CORP.



Stephen K. Lew

Attorneys for Plaintiff
Palpilot International Corporation

Further Stipulation to Extend Time

-3-